

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,072	06/24/2003	Steffen Bender	R115 1011.1	8593	
75	90 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE			FOX, JOHN C		
P.O. Box 7037			PAPER NUMBER		
711141114, 077 3	0557 0057		3753		

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- ME		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065 4-4	0	10/603,072	03,072 BENDER, STEFFEN			
Οπίσε Αςτί	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John Fox	3753			
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be as after SIX (6) MONTHS from (- If the period for reply specifie - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ified above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>24 Ju</u>	ıne 2003.				
2a)☐ This action is FI		action is non-final.				
	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is, 7) ☐ Claim(s)		vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the oving sheet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) objected to by the led a by the led a by the led a by the led in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection in the led a by th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Som 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior or from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)		. 🗖				
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/603,072

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed June 24, 2003.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitations such as "particularly", "preferably" and "and/or" are indefinite in that it cannot be determined what is being claimed. In claim 12, there is no antecedent basis for "the or a further pipe" in that no pipe is recited in claim 1. In claim 15/13, there is no antecedent basis for "the rod".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Torres.

Torres shows a metering disc valve with fixed orifice 17 and movable tapered orifice 27. The actuator stem 29 is read as a pipe. The edge of slot 36 is inherently a stop in the embodiment of Figure 4.

Claims 1-2, 4-10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Chrysler et al.

Art Unit: 3753

Chrysler et al show an disc valve with fixed orifice 46 and movable orifice 76, and an actuator including pipe 58, and a seal ring 43. Note also the embodiment of Figures 4-6.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor.

Taylor shows a similar valve with pressure gauges for measuring flow attached to pipes 94.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as far as they can be understood, as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sejournet.

Taylor shows the claimed valve except for the details of the pressure gauge, which Sejournet show. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the Sejournet in the valve of Taylor to measure the pressure thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,072 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonn Fox Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753